

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,521	07/22/2003	Shigeo Kofune	240465US3	5814
22850	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			JAGAN, MIRELLYS	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2859	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,521	KOFUNE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mirellys Jagan	2859
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
• •		AONTHON FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i. a reply within the statutory minimum of this riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3. p ,	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in i	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	′	r(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) \(\bigcap \text{Notice of } \\ 6) \(\bigcap \text{Other:} \)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species 1, as shown in figure 1.
 - b. Species 2, as shown in figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Robert Pous on August 10, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mirellys Jagan whose telephone number is 571-272-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9AM to 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ

August 10, 2004

Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

> CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER